# Responder Compared to Mean Change Analyses in a Fibromyalgia Phase 2b Clinical Study of Bedtime Rapidly Absorbed Sublingual Cyclobenzaprine (TNX-102 SL)

R Michael Gendreau<sup>1</sup>, Daniel J. Clauw<sup>2</sup>, Judith Gendreau<sup>3</sup>, Bruce Daugherty<sup>3</sup>, and Seth Lederman<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Gendreau Consulting LLC, <sup>2</sup>University of Michigan, <sup>3</sup>Tonix Pharmaceuticals, <sup>4</sup>Tonix Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

#### Background

- Fibromyalgia is characterized by symptoms that include widespread pain and sleep disruption
- Clinical studies that rely on patient self-reported outcome measures such as pain scales may be analyzed by responder analysis (comparisons of proportions of treated patients achieving a predefined clinically meaningful improvement threshold) and by group mean changes
- In a phase 2b trial of TNX-102 SL,\* a proprietary eutectic sublingual (SL) tablet formulation of low-dose cyclobenzaprine HCl (2.8 mg) in fibromyalgia patients (BESTFIT), we compared responder analyses to group mean change analyses for the evaluation of changes in pain and fibromyalgia symptoms

#### Methods

#### BESTFIT Study Characteristics and Endpoint Measures

#### BESTFIT = Bedtime Sublingual TNX-102 SL as Fibromyalgia Intervention Therapy

- 12-week, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study in patients diagnosed with fibromyalgia by 2010 ACR criteria
- 1:1 randomization of 205 participants in 17 centers in the United States
- Placebo (n=102)
- TNX-102 SL 2.8 mg (n=103)

#### **Entry Criteria**

- The patients had a diagnosis of primary fibromyalgia as defined by the 2010 ACR Preliminary Diagnostic Criteria
- for fibromyalgia as all of the following:
- a) Widespread pain index (WPI)  $\geq 7$  and Symptom Severity (SS) scale score  $\geq 5$ ; or WPI 3-6 and
- SS scale score ≥9; and
- b) Symptoms present at a similar level for at least 3 months; and c) Patients did not have a disorder that would have otherwise explained their pain

## **Primary Efficacy Endpoint**

- Mean change from baseline in the weekly average daily diary pain score during week 12
- Pain was measured on a 0-10 Numerical Rating Scale (NRS) that was completed every evening using a telephonic system
- Topline results from BESTFIT are presented elsewhere

### Responder Analysis vs Mean Change from Baseline

- Responders for comparison to group mean changes are defined as follows:
  - Pain: ≥30% improvement from baseline
  - Patient Global Impression of Change (PGIC): score of 1 or 2 on the 1-7 score
- Fibromyalgia Impact Questionnaire-Revised (FIQ-R) total score, pain item and domain scores: ≥30% improvement from baseline


#### Safety Evaluation

- Adverse Events (AEs)
- Administration site reactions/local oral adverse events

Baseline Characteristics							
Characteristic	Placebo N=101	TNX-102 SL N=103					
Age	49.7 (11.7)	50.7 (9.9)					
Males (%)	3 (3%)	7 (6.8%)					
Caucasian (%)	88 (87%)	91 (88%)					
Weight, kg (SD)	80.9 (17.2)	80.6 (16.7)					
BMI (SD)	30.0 (5.5)	30.0 (5.7)					
WPI, mean (SD)	12.9 (3.43)	12.9 (3.54)					
SS, mean (SD)	8.8 (1.80)	8.9 (1.82)					
Tender Point Count, mean (SD)	14.2 (2.90)	14.7 (2.56)					

# **Patient Disposition**

n = 85 (83.3%)



#### LOE = Lack of efficacy


on treatment

n = 89 (86.4%)

#### **Efficacy Measurements**

#### Numerical Rating Scale (NRS) of average pain intensity

• Patients assessed using a daily telephone diary (interactive voice response system [IVRS]) On a scale of 0 to 10, with 0 being no pain at all and 10 being the worst pain imaginable, how would you rate your average pain over the past 24 hours?



#### Patient Global Impression of Change (PGIC)

- Patients assessed at weeks 2, 4, 8 and 12
  - Overall, since the start of the study, my fibromyalgia is:


			Minimally improved		Minimally worse	Much worse	Very much worse
Score	1	2	3	4	5	6	7

#### Fibromyalgia Impact Questionnaire-Revised (FIQ-R)

- Assess the impact of fibromyalgia on a patient's well-being
- 7-day recall
- Patients assessed at weeks 2, 4, 8 and 12

#### **Functional Domain**

Brush/comb hair Walk continuously for 20 minutes Prepare homemade meal Vacuum, scrub, sweep floors Lift/carry bag full of groceries Climb 1 flight of stairs



## **Overall Impact Domain**

Fibromyalgia prevented goal accomplishment Completely overwhelmed by fibromyalgia symptoms





Very diffici


#### **Symptoms Domain**




# Responder Analysis (≥30% Improvement from Baseline)









**FIQ-R Symptom** 





**Daily Diary Sleep Score** 















**FIQ-R Functional** 



#### **TNX-102 SL Adverse Events**

#### Adverse Events Reported in More than 2 Subjects in Either Group **TNX-102 SL** System Organ Class Adverse Event Term (n=101) 59 (58.4%) (n=103) 82 (79.6%) At least 1 TEAE 45 (43.7%) 4 (3.9%) 4 (4.0%) 2 (2.0%) 5 (4.9%) 4 (3.9%) 1 (1.0%) Gastrointestinal disorders 3 (2.9%) 1 (1.0%) 4 (3.9%) 3 (2.9%) 3 (2.9%) 3 (3.0%) 4 (3.9%) 3 (2.9%) 3 (2.9%) 4 (3.9%) Upper respiratory tract infection 2 (2.0%) Infections and infestations 1 (1.0%) 3 (2.9%) 3 (2.9%) 1 (1.0%) 2 (1.9%) 3 (2.9%) 5 (4.9%) 7 (6.9%) Nervous system disorders Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders 3 (3.0%) 8 (7.8%) eneral disorders and administration site conditions Product taste abnormal 3 (2.9%) 1 (1.0%) Respiratory, thoracic and mediastinal disorders

- Local administration site oral hypoaesthesia (transient tongue or sublingual numbness) was reported in 45 out of 103 treated patients
- Only 3 patients withdrew from participation in the study due to local adverse events

# Conclusions

- Results from this Phase 2b trial support the finding that responder analyses for pain studies and other indications relying on patient-reported outcomes may reveal significant and meaningful effects that are missed by group mean changes<sup>1</sup>
- Local site administration reactions of oral hypoaesthesia and abnormal product taste were the only commonly reported adverse events with an incidence of >5%and at least twice the rate of placebo
- Although the primary endpoint for BESTFIT was based on analysis of improvements in pain, the mechanism of action of this intervention is believed to be targeting of nonrestorative sleep. Consistent with this mechanism, observed improvements in sleep quality preceded improvement in pain
- An ongoing confirmatory Phase 3 study will utilize a responder analysis of pain as the primary endpoint. Key secondary endpoints will also be analyzed as responder analyses, which seems to be a more appropriate approach to the evaluation of TNX-102 SL in fibromyalgia

#### References

- . Witter J, Simon LS, Dianne R. Are means meaningless? The application of individual responder analysis to analgesic drug development. APS Bulletin. 2003;13:4-7.
- 2. Data on file, Tonix Pharmaceuticals.
- \*TNX-102 SL is an Investigational New Drug and has not been approved for any indication.